POLEN GLOBAL GROWTH STRATEGY

Summary

- During the third quarter of 2019, the Polen Global Growth Composite Portfolio (the “Portfolio”) returned 0.12% gross of fees versus -0.03% for the MSCI All Country World Index (the “Index”), outperforming the benchmark by 15 basis points during the quarter.

- Since inception on January 1, 2015, the Portfolio has delivered an annualized investment return of 14.25% gross of fees compared to a 6.93% annualized return from the Index. Thus, the Portfolio has on average outperformed the Index by 732 basis points per year since inception. The Portfolio’s cumulative return since inception is 88.19% gross of fees compared to 37.44% for the Index.

- From a sector perspective, our outperformance within the consumer discretionary sector was the most significant driver of performance. While our higher exposure to the information technology sector was also a positive, we underperformed within the sector during the quarter as two holdings, Adobe and SAP, gave a little back following strong share price performance earlier in the year. Geographically, there was not much to report as our regional exposures did not meaningfully impact our returns this quarter.

- Earnings growth has been under pressure worldwide this year. Our Portfolio, however, continues to deliver double-digit earnings per share growth, with overall earnings growth accelerating slightly in the most recently reported quarter. We believe this strong underlying earnings growth continues to underpin our Portfolio’s solid absolute and relative returns year to date.

- We purchased Abbott and sold SGS during the quarter. While purchase and sell decisions are not always directly related, we did actively compare the two in this instance as both companies play more of a “safety” role within the Portfolio. The desire for “safeties” stems from the concept of investing across the growth spectrum, which we use for all of our Large Company Growth strategies and have employed for decades. We feel this balanced approach is one of the reasons we have captured less of the downside over the years.

Commentary

During the third quarter of 2019, the Polen Global Growth Composite Portfolio (the “Portfolio”) returned 0.12% gross of fees versus -0.03% for the MSCI All Country World Index (the “Index”), outperforming the benchmark by 15 basis points during the quarter.

Despite recent volatility, the market was basically flat during the third quarter with the Index up more than 16% year to date. In early September, there was a sharp rotation away from profitable, high-quality growth companies—like those that make up our portfolio—but that rotation was short lived. In our view, specific reasons for these short-term market rotations are hard to pinpoint, but we would note that earnings growth has been under pressure worldwide this year with investors seemingly quick to react to any variety of news. Our Portfolio, however, continues to deliver double-digit earnings per share growth, with overall earnings growth accelerating slightly in the most recently reported quarter. We believe this strong underlying earnings growth continues to underpin our Portfolio’s solid absolute and relative returns year to date.

Please reference the supplemental information to the composite performance which accompanies this commentary.
From a geographic perspective, our higher exposure to China and our slight underweight to the United States were both headwinds during the third quarter -- although neither had a particularly material impact on performance. U.S.-China trade relations continue to progress and then regress in a pattern of sentiment reversal around whether a deal will be achieved. We do not take a view on what a potential deal could look like or when it might come to pass. We would simply reiterate, as noted in our second quarter commentary, that our holdings are generally not overly dependent upon the state of U.S.-China trade relations.

From a sector perspective, our outperformance within the consumer discretionary sector was the most significant driver of performance. While our higher exposure to the information technology sector was also a positive, we underperformed within the sector during the quarter as two holdings, Adobe and SAP, gave a little back following strong share price performance earlier in the year. Given our long-term holding periods and the short-term swings in the market, it is not uncommon in our experience to see a company or sector detract from returns in one quarter and contribute to returns in the next. Alphabet provides another timely example, being a top three detractor last quarter and our leading contributor this quarter.

**Portfolio Overview**

The Portfolio is a high-conviction portfolio that is typically invested in 25 to 35 of what we believe are the best businesses in the world. We only invest in businesses that we believe have sustainable competitive advantages and can deliver durable above-average earnings and free cash flow growth over many years. While we expect some of the Portfolio’s holdings to compound faster, and some slower, we aim to generate mid-teens earnings per share growth over the long term. We take a business owner’s approach to investing and typically hold our investments for many years.

Most of the companies that we own operate in several countries and often benefit from natural or financial hedges that help mitigate policy, country and currency risk. The Portfolio also tends to be concentrated in sectors such as technology, consumer and healthcare, where we believe we find the highest-quality earnings and more sustainable growth. The geographic exposure of the Portfolio is a byproduct of where we find the highest quality. Currently, 14 of our holdings are based in the United States and 13 in various countries around the world. The revenue breakdown, which is the way we like to look at geographic exposure, reveals that roughly 45% of our holdings’ revenues come from the United States currently and about 50% from a wide range of other countries. The balance is a residual cash holding. While we are unlikely to invest in companies domiciled in most emerging markets, we currently have nearly 30% emerging market exposure through revenues that our multinational holdings derive from these markets. We believe this is often a more prudent way to gain such exposure.

**Portfolio Performance & Activity**

The leading contributors for the third quarter were Alphabet Inc. (0.63%), Nike Inc. (0.36%) and Zoetis, Inc. (0.33%). Despite being in very different businesses, each of these companies dominates their respective industries globally, while exceeding our guardrails.

While new antitrust concerns and a deceleration to only 19% revenue growth both weighed on Alphabet shares last quarter, the stock recovered nicely in the third quarter. In the most recently reported results, Alphabet revenues rose 23% to $39 billion and management disclosed that its Google Cloud revenues are already at an $8 billion annualized run rate. Management continues to deliver truly impressive growth, in our view. Few companies operate with such speed at this scale. Antitrust concerns are likely to remain a headline overhang, but we do not believe a Department of Justice investigation will have a meaningful impact on the business. Alphabet is ingrained in the daily activities of people around the world and offers important tools for businesses to more effectively advertise to those people. We believe Alphabet is very well positioned and maintain our conviction weighting.

Nike and Zoetis both grew revenue in the high single digits during the quarter and enjoyed operating leverage as a result of growth at scale. Nike’s fast-growing direct-to-consumer business and increased average selling prices helped drive earnings per share growth of 28% during the quarter. During the same period, Zoetis’ key dermatology products for companion animals contributed to 17% earnings per share growth. We believe both businesses continue to be well positioned globally.

The three largest detractors for the third quarter were Align Technology, Inc. (-0.82%), SAP SE (-0.67%) and Adobe Inc (-0.36%). While Align saw some economic and competitive impacts during the quarter, which we expand upon below, SAP and Adobe both continue to deliver strong business results.

Align Technology’s revenue rose 23% during the second quarter, which was a 3-percentage point deceleration from the prior quarter. China, which accounts for about 10% of total revenues, saw revenue growth decelerate from more than 50% to less than 20% in the second quarter, which fully explains the difference. While this is still a healthy growth rate in China, weaker consumer sentiment is having an impact on some big-ticket purchases, including Invisalign. Management guided for revenue growth in the high-teens for the third quarter and said that they now expect revenue growth to be on the low end of their long-term target of 20%-30% for full-year 2019.

In addition to slower growth in China, management noted pressure in the 20-29-year-old cohort of its North American business. SmileDirectClub (“SDC”), a U.S.-focused, direct-to-consumer clear aligner competitor that just became public, has been very competitive in this demographic. Per the company’s S-1, 65% of their customers are 20-40 years old. SDC focuses on easy fixes, or “minimal tooth movements” in industry vernacular. Given the generally lower discretionary income of this age demographic and SDC’s heavy promotional activity, it is not surprising to us that many are opting for the easy fix. Overall, minimal tooth movement cases are a modest share of total cases though and Align’s real strength is in treating more
Trading activity was modest again this quarter. We purchased Abbott Laboratories, exited our position in SGS SA and trimmed our positions in Starbucks Corporation and Tencent Holdings Ltd., with the latter two trades being small adjustments. While purchase and sell decisions are not always directly related, we did actively compare Abbott to SGS in this instance. Both companies play more of a “safety” role within the Portfolio and we decided that Abbott was the more attractive alternative going forward.

Before sharing our thoughts on Abbott and SGS, we think it is worth expanding on the concept of investing across the growth spectrum, which we use for all of our Large Company Growth strategies and have employed for decades.

With Global Growth, our aim is to construct a portfolio of 25 to 35 of the highest quality, most competitively advantaged businesses in the world that, in aggregate, can grow earnings per share at a mid-teens rate. Some of the companies we own, like Adobe, Alibaba and Tencent, are growing earnings at a much faster rate while some are growing earnings per share at a slower rate. We do not expect Abbott, Oracle or Nestle, for example, to grow earnings at a mid-teens rate. We do believe, though, that each can sustainably deliver a double-digit total shareholder return over time.

By blending different types of growth companies, we feel we can construct a portfolio that delivers strong earnings growth while still paying a reasonable price. The “safety” businesses may be less exciting, but they can provide ballast to the portfolio during tough times and play an important role in outperforming the market over time. This balanced approach has served Polen Capital well for more than 30 years and we feel it is one of the reasons we have captured less of the downside over time.

Abbott Labs is a globally dominant, diversified healthcare company that we have owned before. It continues to possess the attributes we have historically found attractive, namely a diversified portfolio of stable, high-quality growth businesses with market leadership. Abbott competes in the following business segments: Medical Devices, Diagnostics, Nutrition, and Established Pharmaceuticals (branded generics). While taking on significant debt to acquire St. Jude in 2016 gave us pause at the time, management quickly returned St. Jude to growth and paid down the debt.

SGS remains an impressively decentralized company operating a large number of businesses around the globe in the critical and necessary areas of Testing, Inspection and Certification (TIC). The company can be considered a toll road for global commerce, performing critical and very disparate functions, but this appears to have created challenges for management in recent years. Management has had difficulty in growing the business in a world experiencing broad-based GDP deceleration. This has manifested in lower levels of revenue growth and increasing challenges in reaching their 2020 margin ambition of “above 17%,” which was lowered in January 2019 from “at least 18%.” Further, approximately 30% of the company’s business is linked to more economically cyclical businesses that rely on commodity prices.

We have owned SGS since the inception of Global Growth and during that period the stock has appreciated roughly 20% (in line with the Index), but on the back of roughly 15% multiple appreciation. While we continue to think SGS is a stable and competitively advantaged business, we feel that Abbott is a better holding for Global Growth at this time. We believe Abbott is more durable, trading for the same price, enjoying greater business momentum, is less tied to commodity prices and is run by a management team that has demonstrated better execution.

The Abbott-over-SGS trade illustrates the advantage of hunting the entire globe for what we feel are superior businesses. Our framework facilitates a relatively simple comparison between Abbott Labs, a U.S.-based, globally diversified medical device company, and SGS, a Switzerland-based leader in the TIC industry. While these companies would likely never be in the same category in any other circumstance, to us it was useful to compare them directly to see which we believe is better positioned to deliver stable and consistent growth for our clients. Our global mandate allows us to make such comparisons.

In summary, despite a volatile but ultimately flat third quarter, modest overall global growth and ongoing concerns about U.S.-China relations, our Portfolio continues to deliver solid results. We are pleased with the strong underlying performance of the Portfolio and believe we are well positioned going forward.

Thank you for your interest in Polen Capital and the Global Growth strategy. Please feel free to contact us with any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

Damon Ficklin & Jeff Mueller

POLEN CAPITAL
1825 Corporate Blvd NW #300, Boca Raton, FL 33431
+ 1-800-358-1887 | www.polencapital.com

The commentary is not intended as a guarantee of profitable outcomes. Any forward-looking statements are based on certain expectations and assumptions that are susceptible to changes in circumstances.

Please reference the supplemental information to the composite performance which accompanies this commentary.
### Historical Performance

**Global Growth SMA Composite as of Sep-30-2019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Polen (Gross)</th>
<th>Polen (Net)</th>
<th>ACWI Net</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sep-19</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Month</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YTD</td>
<td>23.46</td>
<td>22.78</td>
<td>16.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Year</td>
<td>8.81</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Year</td>
<td>17.67</td>
<td>16.70</td>
<td>9.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Since Inception (Jan 1, 2015)</td>
<td>14.25</td>
<td>13.29</td>
<td>6.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Returns are trailing through September-30-2019*

*Annualized returns are presented for periods greater than 1 year.*

*Source: Archer*

---

Please reference the supplemental information to the composite performance which accompanies this commentary.
Polen Capital Management  
Global Growth Composite-Annual Disclosure Presentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year End</th>
<th>UMA</th>
<th>Firm</th>
<th>Composite Assets</th>
<th>Annual Performance Results</th>
<th>3 Year Standard Deviation**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total (millions)</td>
<td>Assets (millions)</td>
<td>Assets (millions)</td>
<td>U.S. Dollars (millions)</td>
<td>Number of Accounts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>20,591</td>
<td>7,862</td>
<td>12,729</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>17,422</td>
<td>6,957</td>
<td>10,466</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>11,251</td>
<td>4,697</td>
<td>6,554</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>7,451</td>
<td>2,125</td>
<td>5,326</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N/A - There are five or fewer accounts in the composite the entire year.

Total assets and UMA assets are supplemental information to the Annual Disclosure Presentation.  
**A 3 Year Standard Deviation is not available for 2015 and 2016 due to 36 monthly returns are not available.
GIPS Disclosure

The Global Growth Composite created on January 1, 2015 contains fully discretionary global growth accounts that are not managed within a wrap fee structure and for comparison purposes is measured against MSCI ACWI. Prior to October 18, 2016, the benchmark for the Global Growth Composite was the MSCI ACWI variant with gross dividends. As of October 18, 2016, the benchmark was changed retroactively to the MSCI ACWI variant with net dividends, to more accurately reflect the Global Growth Composite’s strategy. Polen Capital invests exclusively in a portfolio of high-quality companies.

Polen Capital Management claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®) and has prepared and presented this report in compliance with the GIPS standards. Polen Capital Management has been independently verified by ACA Performance Services, LLC for the periods January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2018. A verification covering the periods from April 1, 1992 through December 31, 2015 was performed by Ashland Partners & Company LLP, whose report expressed an unqualified opinion thereon. The verification reports are available upon request. Ashland Partners & Company LLP was acquired by ACA Performance Services, LLC.

Verification assesses whether (1) the firm has complied with all the composite construction requirements of the GIPS standards on a firm-wide basis and (2) the firm’s policies and procedures are designed to calculate and present performance in compliance with the GIPS standards. Verification does not ensure the accuracy of any specific composite presentation.

Polen Capital Management is an independent registered investment adviser. The firm maintains a complete list and description of composites, which is available upon request. In July 2007, the firm was reorganized from an S-corporation into an LLC and changed names from Polen Capital Management, Inc. to Polen Capital Management, LLC.

Results are based on fully discretionary accounts under management, including those accounts no longer with the firm. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Effective January 1, 2018, accounts must be fully invested at the market open on the first business day of the month, in order to be included in that month’s composite.

The U.S. Dollar is the currency used to express performance. Returns are presented gross and net of management fees and include the reinvestment of all income. Net of fee performance was calculated using actual management fees. The annual composite dispersion presented is an asset-weighted standard deviation calculated for the accounts in the composite the entire year. Policies for valuing portfolios, calculating performance, and preparing compliant presentations are available upon request.

The management fee schedule is as follows:

Institutional: Per annum fees for managing accounts are 85 basis points (0.85%) on the first $50 Million and 65 basis points (0.65%) on all assets above $50 Million of assets under management.

HNW: Per annum fees for managing accounts are 160 basis points (1.60%) of the first $500,000 of assets under management and 110 basis points (1.10%) of amounts above $500,000 of assets under management. Actual investment advisory fees incurred by clients may vary.

Past performance does not guarantee future results and future accuracy and profitable results cannot be guaranteed. Performance figures are presented gross and net of management fees and have been calculated after the deduction of all transaction costs and commissions. Polen Capital is an SEC registered investment advisor and its investment advisory fees are described in its Form ADV Part 2A. The advisory fees will reduce clients’ returns. The chart below depicts the effect of a 1% management fee on the growth of one dollar over a 10 year period at 10% (9% after fees) and 20% (19% after fees) assumed rates of return.

The MSCI ACWI Index is a market capitalization weighted index designed to provide a broad measure of equity-market performance throughout the world. The MSCI ACWI is maintained by Morgan Stanley Capital International, and is comprised of stocks from both developed and emerging markets.

The information provided in this document should not be construed as a recommendation to purchase or sell any particular security. There is no assurance that any securities discussed herein will remain in the composite or that the securities sold will not be repurchased. The securities discussed do not represent the composite’s entire portfolio. Actual holdings will vary depending on the size of the account, cash flows, and restrictions. It should not be assumed that any of the securities transactions or holdings discussed will prove to be profitable, or that the investment recommendations or decisions we make in the future will be profitable or will equal the investment performance of the securities discussed herein. A complete list of our past specific recommendations for the last year is available upon request.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Return</th>
<th>1 Year</th>
<th>2 Years</th>
<th>3 Years</th>
<th>4 Years</th>
<th>5 Years</th>
<th>6 Years</th>
<th>7 Years</th>
<th>8 Years</th>
<th>9 Years</th>
<th>10 Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9%</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>6.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19%</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>5.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>